

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/644,041	08/20/2003	Victor M. Hermelin	23233YXY	5080	
20529 7	7590 08/31/2006		EXAMINER		
NATH & ASSOCIATES			ALSTRUM ACEVEDO, JAMES HENRY		
112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1616	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/644,041	HERMELIN, VICTOR M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Au</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 239-301 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 239-301 are subject to restriction	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 239-301 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1 and 239-281, drawn to a drug delivery regimen comprising an active therapeutic substance selected from vitamins, minerals, and combinations thereof administered multiple times during at least one 24-hour period, classified in class

514, subclass 52+, depending on the substance selected.

II. Claims 282-296, drawn to a method of enhancing the therapeutic effect of an

active substance selected from vitamins and minerals, classified in class 702,

subclasses 19 and 23.

III. Claims 297-301, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition for optimizing

therapeutic activity comprising (1) a first active component selected from water-

soluble vitamins and water-soluble minerals and (2) a second component selected

from water-insoluble vitamins and water-insoluble minerals, classified in class

424, subclasses 600-606, 630, 646-647, 673, 680-681, 702, etc.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions III and (II or I) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can

be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using

the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the

product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/644,041

Art Unit: 1616

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as in the treatment and/or prevention of diseases resulting from vitamin or mineral deficiencies (e.g. rickets), wherein the therapeutic substance is administered once per day.

Inventions II and I are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation as evidenced by the significantly different steps of methods II and I. Method I only requires the administration of the therapeutic substance, whereas method II does not expressly require the administration of an active substance, but rather the acquisition and manipulation of data (e.g. pharmacokinetic parameters) in an equation to determine optimal dosing.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined

Application/Control Number: 10/644,041

Art Unit: 1616

claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Attorney Tanya Harkins on August 21, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: (i) vitamins, (ii) minerals, (iii) dosage forms, (iv) methods of administration, (v) water-soluble vitamins, (vi) water-soluble minerals, (vii) water-insoluble vitamins, and (viii) water-insoluble minerals. The species are independent or distinct because they represent different chemical compounds having distinct chemical structures and bio-affecting properties.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,041

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant is respectfully requested to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits, for examination purposes only, to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 248, and 297 are generic.

If Groups I or II is elected, the Examiner respectfully requests a species election, for examination purposes only, of <u>a single specific</u> (1) dosage form from those recited in claim 248, (2) vitamin, (3) mineral, and (4) method of administration (e.g. immediate release). If Group III is elected the Examiner respectfully requests a species election, for examination purposes only, of a single specific (1a) water-soluble vitamin *AND* (1b) water-soluble mineral <u>OR</u> a single specific (2) water-insoluble vitamin *AND* (2b) water-insoluble mineral.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James H. Alstrum-Acevedo whose telephone number is (571) 272-5548. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-6:30, with every other Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,041 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James H. Alstrum-Acevedo, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600

Alton N. Pryor, Ph. D. Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600